

Preprints are preliminary reports that have not undergone peer review. They should not be considered conclusive, used to inform clinical practice, or referenced by the media as validated information.

# Safety and Immunogenicity of Mix-Match of Vaccines -Covishield and Covaxin – a Pilot Study

Bhanu Prakash Reddy Attunuru AIG Hospitals
Podduturi Naveenchander Reddy AIG Hospitals
Sasikala Mitnala ( aigres.mit@gmail.com ) AIG Hospitals
Gujjarlapudi Deepika AIG Hospitals
Sadhana Yelamanchili Veturi AIG Hospitals
Duvvur Nageshwar Reddy AIG Hospitals

# Short Report

Keywords: COVID-19, Covaxin, Covishield

Posted Date: January 3rd, 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1210542/v2

**License:** (c) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

# SAFETY AND IMMUNOGENICITY OF MIX-MATCH OF VACCINES -COVISHIELD AND COVAXIN – A PILOT STUDY

Bhanu Prakash Reddy Attunuru<sup>1</sup>, Podduturi Naveenchander Reddy<sup>1</sup>, Sasikala Mitnala<sup>2</sup>, Gujjarlapudi Deepika<sup>3</sup>, Sadhana Yelamanchili Veturi<sup>4</sup>, Duvvur Nageshwar Reddy<sup>5</sup>

- 1. AIG Hospitals, Internal Medicine, Mindspace Rd, Gachibowli, Hyderabad, India.
- 2. Asian Healthcare Foundation, Mindspace Rd, Gachibowli, Hyderabad, India.
- 3. AIG Hospitals, Department of Biochemistry, Mindspace Rd, Gachibowli, Hyderabad, India.
- 4. AIG Hospitals, Department of Microbiology, Mindspace Rd, Gachibowli, Hyderabad, India.
- 5. AIG Hospitals, Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Mindspace Rd, Gachibowli, Hyderabad, India.

## Short Running Title: Mix-vac study

Abbreviations: S-spike protein, RBD –Receptor binding domain

Keywords: COVID-19, Covaxin, Covishield

Grant support: Asian Health Care Foundation

Financial disclosures: None

#### Potential conflicts of interest: None

#### **Correspondence to:**

Dr D Nageshwar Reddy M.D, D.M, D.Sc., FAMS, FRCP, FASGE, FACG, MWGO Chairman and Chief of Gastroenterology AIG Hospitals, Gachibowli, Hyderabad - 500 032 India

# Abstract:

This single-center prospective observational study was conducted to assess the safety and immunogenicity of combination vaccines AstraZeneca's ChAdOx1-nCov-19 (Covishield in India) and inactivated whole virion BBV152 (Covaxin). A total of 330 unvaccinated healthy volunteers were screened for SARS-COV2 seropositivity. RT PCR tests were conducted for seronegative volunteers (n =44). They were randomly assigned to four groups and given either same or mixed vaccines at an interval of 4 weeks between the two doses. Mix and match of vaccines did not evoke any adverse events. Combination of vaccines elicited similar immune responses in 4 groups. They were further studied dividing into homologous and heterologous vaccine groups. In Conclusion, Combination vaccines are safe and immunogenic and heterologous vaccines elicit better immunogenic response.

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

Vaccination has been shown to be protective against severe COVID-19 disease by various studies<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>. However, the vaccine efficacy was demonstrated to be less effective against the emerging variants of SARS-CoV-2<sup>5</sup>. Recent studies by Kant R et al.,<sup>6</sup> Com- COV study<sup>7</sup>, Combi Vacs trial<sup>8</sup>, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and BNT162b2<sup>9</sup> have all demonstrated greater immunogenic response and increased protective efficacy with combination of vaccines. All these studies mainly focussed on Pfizer (BNT162b2), Moderna (mRNA-1273) and AstraZeneneca (ChAdOx1-nCov-19). We aimed to assess the safety and immunogenicity of combination of AstraZeneca's ChAdOx1-nCov-19 (Covishield in India) and inactivated whole virion BBV152 (Covaxin) with 4 weeks' interval. This study was conducted to reduce various difficulties in vaccinating populations across the world specially to mitigate vaccine deficit.

#### **Materials and Methods:**

This is a single-centre prospective observational study conducted at AIG Hospitals, Hyderabad, India. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional ethics committee (AIG/IEC-CT 51/08.2021-01). We recruited 330 healthy volunteers and screened for seronegativity for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies (IgM and IgG by chemiluminiscence; Roche Cobas e 601) and SARS-CoV2 negative report by RT-PCR. 3 ml of blood was drawn for screening SARS-COV2 antibodies. Nasopharyngeal swabs were collected from individuals who were seronegative (n=44) for SARS-CoV RT-PCR employing Taq path kits (Thermoscientific, USA). All the volunteers have provided written informed consent. Eligible participants (n=44) were divided randomly into four groups. (**Figure 1**). Group1- Covishield –Covishield group (homologous), Group 2 Covaxin –Covaxin group (homologous), Group 3 – Covishield –Covaxin group (heterologous), Group 4 –Covaxin –Covishield group (heterologous). Healthy volunteers were given 1st dose of Covishield (0.5 ml of intramuscular in deltoid) in group 1 and group 3 while volunteers in group 2 and group 4 received Covaxin (0.5 ml of intramuscular in deltoid) as 1st dose. After 4 weeks of 1<sup>st</sup> dose, groups 1 and 4 received Covishield as 2<sup>nd</sup> dose while groups 2 and 3 received Covaxin as 2<sup>nd</sup> dose respectively. Further, the volunteers were divided into homologous (similar vaccines for two doses; group A) and heterologous (mix-match of vaccines for two doses; group B) vaccination groups and their antibody titers were evaluated to assess the immunogenic response. S1/S2 neutralizing antibodies titers and RBD specific antibody titers were evaluated at day 28 after the 1st dose and day 15 after the second dose (45 days of first dose) of vaccination; Sera were tested for S1/S2 antibody titers using chemiluminiscence assays (automated Diasorin Liaison XL, Italy) and electrochemiluminescent assays for RBD specific antibody titer (Cobas e 601, Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). They were carefully monitored for any adverse events for 60 days. The data including demographics were entered in MS-excel and analyzed using SPSS version 23. Categorical variables were expressed in percentages (frequency distribution) and continuous variables as mean and standard deviation (SD), median and range wherever required. Chisquare tests, student t-test and ANOVA were used appropriately.

**<u>Figure 1</u>** : Flow chart of the mix –vac study



#### **Results:**

A total of 44 participants out of 330 healthy volunteers were eligible for mix and match vaccine study (mix-vac study). Among 44 volunteers, 21 received the homologous vaccines and 23 received the heterologous vaccines. The mean age in Covishield homologous vaccine group was 34.83±7.58 years, and Covaxin homologous vaccine group was 31.33±5.20 years, Covishield followed by Covaxin heterologous group is 31.58±5.32 years and Covaxin followed by Covishield heterologous group was 32.27±5.26 years with no significant difference (p=0.49). There is no significant difference between the groups with respect to comorbidities like hypertension (Table-1) and none of them had diabetes or other comorbidities. The antibody titers (median) of S1/S2-IgG and RBD specific IgG 15 days after 2<sup>nd</sup> dose of vaccination in Covishield homologous group is 841.5 (24.0-3985 )AU/ml and 9823.5 (858-42800 )IU/ml, Covaxin homologous group is 68.4 (3.8-1850) AU/ml and 951 (0.4-13710) JU/ml, Covishield followed by Covaxin heterologous group is 1195 (5.21-3981) AU/ml and 11554.5 (10.8-30740) IU/ml and Covaxin followed by Covishield heterologous group is 1250 (12.8-4225)AU/ml and 3247 (0.75-23290)IU/ml respectively. There is no significant difference (p= 0.08) in antibody titers between the groups. The volunteers experienced fever, injection site pain, and mild headache in all the groups with no significant difference between the groups (Table-1). There are no major adverse events noted in the mix-match vaccine groups.

	Both	Both Covaxin	First Covishield	First Covaxin	Р
	Covishield	Group -2	then Covaxin	then Covishield	value*
	Group -1	N=9	Group -3	Group -4	
	N=12		N=12	N=11	
Age	34.83±7.58	31.33±5.20	31.58±5.32	32.27±5.26	0.49
28 <sup>th</sup> Day after	605 (48.4-	112 (37.6-	633(8.68-3981)	109 (5.24-3970)	0.17
1 <sup>st</sup> dose S1/S2	3330) AU/ml	1450) AU/ml	AU/ml	AU/ml	
-IgG					
Antibodies					
28 <sup>th</sup> Day after	5242 (96.5-	843(0.4-19320)	. 6254.5(71.3-	747(0.75-41340)	0.43
1 <sup>st</sup> dose RBD –	13370) IU/ml	IU/ml	23290) IU/ml	IU/ml	
IgG					
Antibodies					
15 <sup>th</sup> Day after	841.5 (24.0-	68.4 (3.8-1850)	1195(5.21-3981)	1250 (12.8-4225)	0.08
$2^{nd}$ dose S1/S2	3985) AU/ml	AU/ml	AU/ml	AU/ml	
- IgG					
Antibodies					
15 <sup>th</sup> Day after	9823.5 (858-	951(0.4-13710)	11554.5(10.8-	3247(0.75-	0.08
2 <sup>nd</sup> dose RBD	42800) IU/ml	IU/ml	30740) IU/ml	23290) IU/ml	
specific IgG					
Antibodies					
Smoking (Yes)	2 (16.67%)	1 (11.11%)	1 (8.33%)	2 (18.18%)	0.89
Alcohol intake	2 (16.67%)	3 (33.33%)	2 (16.67%)	5 (45.45%)	0.33
Comorbidities	2 (16.67%)	4 (44.44%)	2 (16.67%)	6 (54.54%)	0.12
(Hypertension)					
1 <sup>st</sup> Visit dose 1					
Fever (yes)	4 (33.33%)	3 33.33%)	4 (33.33%)	4 (36.36%)	0.99
Headache	5 (41.67%)	4 (44.44%)	7 (58.33%)	5 (45.45%)	0.85
(yes)					
Pain at site	9 (75.00%)	5 (55.55%)	3 (25.00%)	6 (54.54%)	0.10
(Yes)					
2 <sup>nd</sup> Visit dose					
2					0.40
Fever (Yes)	2(16.67%)	2 (22.22%)	1 (8.33%)	4 (36.36%)	0.40
Headache	8 (66.67%)	4 (44.44%)	8 (66.67%)	5 (45.45%)	0.55
(Yes)					0.00
Pain at site	5 (41.67%)	5 (55.55%)	6 (50.00%)	4 (36.36%)	0.82
(Yes)					

TABLE -1: Demographic and clinical profile of participant's mix-vac study.

\*Chi-square test and One-Way ANOVA were used

The data were then analyzed between homologous (group-A) and heterologous groups (group-B). The mean age in homologous vaccine group was  $33.3 \pm 6.75$  and heterologous vaccine group was  $31.91 \pm 5.18$  with no significant difference (p= 0.44). The median antibody titers of S1/S2-IgG and RBD specific IgG at 15 days after 2<sup>nd</sup> dose of vaccine in homologous groups is 290 (3.8-3985) AU/ml and 7568 (0.4-42800) IU/ml, and heterologous group is 1250(5.21-4225) AU/ml and 6427(0.075-30740) IU/ml respectively. A significant difference (p= 0.02) in S1/S2 -IgG antibody titers was observed after 15 days of 2<sup>nd</sup> dose vaccination between homologous and heterologous groups, while there was no significant difference (p=0.97) in RBD specific-IgG antibody titers between the groups (Table-2, Figure 3; Figure 4).

	Homologous vaccine group. (n=21) Group A	Heterelogous vaccine group (n=23) Group B	P value*
Age (years)	33.3 + 6.75	31.91 + 5.18	0.44
28 <sup>th</sup> Day after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose S1/S2-IgG Antibodies	181 (37.6-3330) AU/ml	373 (5.24-3981) AU/ml	0.272
28 <sup>th</sup> Day after 1 <sup>st</sup> dose RBD –IgG Antibodies	1769 (0.4-19320) IU/ml	3383 (0.075-41340) IU/ml	0.111
15 <sup>th</sup> Day after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose S1/S2- IgG Antibodies	290(3.8-3985) AU/ml	1250 (5.21-4225) AU/ml	0.022
15 <sup>th</sup> Day after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose RBD- IgG Antibodies	7568 (0.4-42800) IU/ml	6427 (0.075-30740) IU/ml	0.976

TABLE -2: An	ntibody titres of homo	ologous and heterolog	ous groups study a	it 28 and 45
days after vaco	cination.			

\*Student paired t-test



Figure 2: Simple box plot of S1/S2 IgG antibodies 15 days after 2nd dose of vaccination

Figure 3: Simple box plot of RBD specific IgG antibodies after 15 days of 2nd dose of



vaccination

# **Discussion:**

Our study is the first Indian prospective pilot study demonstrating the safety and immunogenicity of mix-match vaccines with Covaxin and Covishield in healthy volunteers. These results are similar to those conducted by Kant R et  $al(n=18)^6$  which included only Covishield first dose followed by Covaxin, while our study included both Covishield followed by Covaxin and Covaxin followed by Covishield groups. The major limitation of the study was the smaller number (n=44). Despite the small number, our study included two groups with two combination of vaccines that are in use in this part of the world. However, these results need to be assessed in larger cohorts. Since the number is small in this study, our results do not interpret the best combination but it helps to answer the apprehensions among the general public about combination vaccine and prevent vaccine hesitancy.

## **Conclusion:**

Our results show safety and immunogenicity of mix-match of COVID-19 vaccination (Covishield followed by Covaxin, and Covaxin followed by Covishield) and demonstrate enhanced antibody response with heterologous vaccines than homologous vaccines.

#### References

Frenck RW Jr, Klein NP, Kitchin N, Gurtman A *et al.* C4591001 Clinical Trial Group.
 Safety, Immunogenicity, and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 Covid-19 Vaccine in Adolescents. N
 Engl J Med. 2021 Jul 15;385(3):239-250. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2107456. Epub 2021 May 27.
 PMID: 34043894; PMCID: PMC8174030.

 Ella R, Reddy S, Blackwelder W, *et al.* COVAXIN Study Group. Efficacy, safety, and lotto-lot immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine (BBV152): interim results of a randomised, double-blind, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2021 Nov 11;398(10317):2173– 84. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02000-6. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34774196; PMCID: PMC8584828

3. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, *et al.* COVE Study Group. Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. N Engl J Med. 2021 Feb 4;384(5):403-416. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2035389. Epub 2020 Dec 30. PMID: 33378609; PMCID: PMC7787219.

4. Voysey M, Clemens SAC, Madhi SA, *et al.* Oxford COVID Vaccine Trial Group. Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. Lancet. 2021 Jan 9;397(10269):99-111. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32661-1. Epub 2020 Dec 8. Erratum in: Lancet. 2021 Jan 9;397(10269):98. PMID: 33306989; PMCID: PMC7723445.

5. Moore JP, Offit PA. SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines and the Growing Threat of Viral Variants. JAMA. 2021 Mar 2;325(9):821-822. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.1114. PMID: 33507218.

 Kant R, Dwivedi G, Zaman K, *et al.* Serendipitous COVID-19 Vaccine-Mix in Uttar Pradesh, India: Safety and Immunogenicity Assessment of a Heterologous Regime. medRxiv.
 2021 Jan 1.  Shaw RH, Stuart A, Greenland M, Liu X, Nguyen Van-Tam JS, Snape MD; Com-COV Study Group. Heterologous prime-boost COVID-19 vaccination: initial reactogenicity data. Lancet. 2021 May 29;397(10289):2043-2046. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01115-6. Epub 2021 May 12. Erratum in: Lancet. 2021 May 18;: PMID: 33991480; PMCID: PMC8115940.

8. Borobia AM, Carcas AJ, Pérez-Olmeda M, *et al.* CombiVacS Study Group. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of BNT162b2 booster in ChAdOx1-S-primed participants (CombiVacS): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet. 2021 Jul 10;398(10295):121-130. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01420-3. Epub 2021 Jun 25. Erratum in: Lancet. 2021 Aug 14;398(10300):582. PMID: 34181880; PMCID: PMC8233007.

9. Hillus D, Schwarz T, Tober-Lau P, *et al.* Safety, reactogenicity, and immunogenicity of homologous and heterologous prime-boost immunisation with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and BNT162b2: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Respir Med. 2021 Nov;9(11):1255-1265. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00357-X. Epub 2021 Aug 13. PMID: 34391547; PMCID: PMC8360702.